TRANFORMATION
THE IMPERATIVE TO CHANGE
The Boston Consulting Group (BCG) is a global management consulting firm and the world's leading advisor on business strategy. We partner with clients from the private, public, and not-for-profit sectors in all regions to identify their highest-value opportunities, address their most critical challenges, and transform their enterprises. Our customized approach combines deep insight into the dynamics of companies and markets with close collaboration at all levels of the client organization. This ensures that our clients achieve sustainable competitive advantage, build more capable organizations, and secure lasting results. Founded in 1963, BCG is a private company with 81 offices in 45 countries. For more information, please visit bcg.com.
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A CROSS ALMOST ALL SECTORS and regions, companies face unprecedented disruption. The competitive advantages that once gave companies a defensible position—their product lineup, scale, or legacy position—are no longer as secure as they were. Some upstart with a newer and more agile operating model will start taking market share—if it hasn’t already.

In the face of this volatility and complexity, most businesses must transform, meaning a comprehensive change in strategy, operating model, organization, people, and processes. More than ever before, transformation is an imperative. In fact, forward-thinking companies are launching preemptive transformations while they still hold a dominant market position, retooling themselves to stay ahead. The hard reality, however, is that many transformations fail. Some 75 percent fall short of their targets—in terms of value generated, timing, or both.

The Boston Consulting Group, through work with clients in transformation efforts around the world, has developed an approach that flips the odds in a company’s favor. This report is an effort to give transformation leaders the tools and approaches to lead a successful and sustainable transformation effort. (To illustrate our transformation approach, the report also includes detailed case studies and concrete actions taken in transformations across industries and regions, along with the quantitative value that they created.)

Our approach breaks down transformations into three discrete steps: funding the journey, winning in the medium term, and establishing the right team, organization, and culture.

**Funding the journey entails pulling short-term levers to establish momentum and create the fuel for new growth engines.**

- Companies in transformation typically seek to quickly raise revenues, simplify the organization, use capital more efficiently, and reduce costs.
• Critically, these measures include fast, quantifiable wins to the bottom line that can also energize the organization and generate the buy-in of managers and employees.

Winning in the medium term requires dramatically rethinking the operating and business models to increase competitive advantage.

• Once the company has taken steps to fund the journey, it must make a more profound change to its legacy operations and business model.

• A critical component of this is rethinking the operating model—essentially rewiring the way the company delivers products and services to its customers.

• An end-to-end lean approach can help a company streamline processes from the perspective of the customer, reduce waste, and eliminate friction between business units and departments and across value streams.

• In many transformations, companies must even rethink their core business model and reevaluate the value proposition they offer: identifying the right target segments to serve, the products and services to offer, and the model that can maximize revenues from those products and services.

• The new business model may include an innovative go-to-market approach or a new digital strategy to complement or compete with legacy operations.

The right team, organization, and culture set up the organization for high performance.

• The third key element of a transformation is the people component. Without a strong focus on the company's team, organization, and culture, the transformation will fail.

• This effort requires attention to five factors:
  – Ensure commitment that allows the leadership team to lead from the front.
  – Simplify the organization and develop the culture to support high performance.
  – Develop talent to fill the critical roles required to transform.
  – Install an HR team that can act as a transformation partner.
  – Deploy change management tools to drive results.
ACROSS INDUSTRIES AND REGIONS, the need for business transformation is enormous and growing. The competitive environment today is far more unpredictable than it was even a decade ago, with disruption arising from all angles. (See Exhibit 1.) Digitization and globalization are blurring the lines between sectors as well as between traditional competitor groups. Technology is changing consumer behavior, empowering start-ups, making pricing more transparent, and reducing product life cycles. Today’s

EXHIBIT 1 | Businesses Face Growing Disruption from All Angles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased volatility</td>
<td>More-intense competition and costly, extreme events (for example, natural disasters and financial crises) are driving more turbulence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital disruption</td>
<td>Across industries, digital is fundamentally shifting how individuals interact, what customers expect, and how work is done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing regulation</td>
<td>As the number and complexity of regulations increases across markets, planning and operations become more difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geopolitics</td>
<td>Increasingly complex geopolitical situations are forcing global companies to shift how they think about risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public spending pressure</td>
<td>Rising costs across most aspects of government create pressure to trim budgets, cut programs, or both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing economics</td>
<td>Volatility in logistics and labor costs and supply chain complexity are changing the formula for where to manufacture and what to outsource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy dynamics</td>
<td>The global energy landscape is dramatically shifting owing to geopolitical issues, technology advances, and changing sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-speed world</td>
<td>Companies must be able to shift gears between different approaches to compete in low-cost countries and developed economies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: “Half a Billion Clicks Can’t Be Wrong,” Foreign Policy, January 3, 2014; S&P Capital IQ; Thomson Reuters Accelus Regulatory Intelligence.
“two-speed world,” characterized by rapid growth in emerging economies and slower growth in developed countries, forces companies to develop unique strategies for each environment. Additionally, companies must rethink—and continually reassess—their operational footprint, owing to changing costs, evolving demand, and unfolding trade restrictions.

Leading companies are choosing to undergo preemptive transformations that further reinforce their competitive strengths.

As a result, the traditional sources of competitive advantage—market position, scale, or legacy—are diminishing, and established operating models are becoming obsolete. That leads to greater volatility within industries, creating a churn effect in which the dominant player is increasingly overtaken by more nimble companies with stronger business models.

According to research conducted by The Boston Consulting Group, the probability that a sector’s market-share leader is also its profitability leader declined from 35 percent in 1950 to just 7 percent in the current environment. During the same period, volatility in earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) margin increased nearly fivefold. A leading company that misses one market shift loses three to five years in development time, which is enough to cede the leading position. Miss two turns, and your company is in real danger.

Transformation: An Imperative in Most Companies and Sectors

In a shifting environment, most businesses must transform, meaning a fundamental change in strategy, operating model, organization, people, and processes. For most companies, the urgency is high; for others, it is an ongoing, adaptive process. In both cases, though, the transformation fundamentally alters the trajectory of the company. Equally important, it is not a onetime event but an ongoing process of evolution as market conditions continue to change.

Successful transformation normally requires rapid, short-term improvements to the bottom line to establish traction and to position the company to win in the medium term. At the same time, organizations need to build the right team, organization, and culture to achieve sustainable results over the long term. All of these aspects need to happen in parallel.

In the past, a transformation effort was perceived as a radical solution indicating that a company had broad and systemic problems—that is, that it had no choice but to change. That perception is increasingly outdated. In fact, fewer than half of BCG clients that have undergone a transformation effort over the past decade had been chronic underperformers. Indeed, nearly 25 percent of them were consistently ahead of their competitors. Leading companies in the sample chose to undergo preemptive transformations that further reinforced their competitive strengths. (See Exhibit 2.)

Yet while almost all companies will need to transform themselves at some point—market leaders and laggards alike—the reality is that many transformation efforts fail. Evidence from the experiences of non-BCG clients undergoing publicly announced transformations from 2003 through 2013 shows that up to 75 percent of those efforts fell short of their targets, in terms of implementation time, value captured, or both. Only 25 percent were able to capture short-term and long-term performance gains compared with their sector average.

An Approach That Flips the Odds of Success

On the basis of our experience helping to implement transformations across industries and regions worldwide, we have developed a proven methodology that can help companies exceed their target impact. As Exhibit 3 shows, the methodology includes three key
EXHIBIT 2 | Nearly 25 Percent of Companies Undergo Transformation Efforts from a Position of Strength
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Sources: BCG analysis; BCG ValueScience Center.
Note: Analysis of 59 companies that underwent a transformation or large-scale change from 2003 through 2013.

EXHIBIT 3 | BCG’s Transformation Approach Includes Three Key Areas

The goal

To fundamentally transform a company’s position and trajectory in order to deliver strong and sustainable value creation

Funding the journey

Pull short-term levers to close performance gaps and fund new growth engines.

Winning in the medium term

Enable a fundamentally different competitive position, leading to medium-term revenue and earnings growth.

Establishing the right team, organization, and culture

Set up the organization to execute and sustain transformation over the long term.

What it means

- Are we competitive on cost?
- What quick wins should we target?
- How can we free up the funding for future investment?
- How can we keep employees, customers, investors, the board, and other stakeholders with us?
- Where should we target growth?
- What markets should we enter or exit?
- What is our business model?
- How do we optimize our operating model?
- What targets should we set, and how should we measure them?
- Will the organization and culture sustain success?
- What talent do we need, and when do we need it?
- Is HR acting as a transformation partner?
- How do we embed change within the organization?

Questions to answer

Source: BCG experience.
areas, which we will discuss at greater length in the remainder of the report:

- Funding the journey: pulling short-term levers to establish momentum and fuel new growth engines
- Winning in the medium term: developing the operating and business models to increase competitive advantage
- Establishing the right team, organization, and culture: setting up the organization for high performance

The underlying motivation for a transformation must be to create strong and sustainable value for the institution and its key stakeholders. For public companies and many private companies, total shareholder return (TSR)—which captures change in share price and dividends paid to the company’s shareholders—is the most important lens to assess the success of a transformation. The underlying logic of value creation also often holds for state-owned enterprises.

Most important, the value creation lens helps companies focus their transformation efforts on the levers that can have the greatest impact (organic growth, reduced operating expenses, better asset productivity, and others). This explicit focus helps ensure the best use of available human and financial resources, enabling companies to fund the journey and win in the medium term. This approach is also a powerful means of communicating the transformation agenda to key stakeholders, such as shareholders. (For a case study of TSR-driven transformation, see the sidebar “VF’s Growth Transformation Creates Strong Value for Investors.”)

Notes
**VF’s GROWTH TRANSFORMATION CREATES STRONG VALUE FOR INVESTORS**

Value creation is a powerful lens for identifying the initiatives that will have the greatest impact on a company’s transformation agenda and for understanding the potential value of the overall program for shareholders.

VF offers a compelling example of a company using a sharp focus on value creation to chart its transformation course. In the early 2000s, VF was a good company with strong management but limited organic growth. Its “jeanswear” and intimate-apparel businesses, although responsible for 80 percent of the company’s revenues, were mature, low-gross-margin segments. And the company’s cost-cutting initiatives were delivering diminishing returns. VF’s top line was essentially flat, at about $5 billion in annual revenues, with an unclear path to future growth. VF’s value creation had been driven by cost discipline and manufacturing efficiency, yet, to the frustration of management, VF had a lower valuation multiple than most of its peers.

With BCG’s help, VF assessed its options and identified key levers to drive stronger and more-sustainable value creation. The result was a multiyear transformation comprising four components:

- **A Strong Commitment to Value Creation as the Company’s Focus.** Initially, VF cut back its growth guidance to signal to investors that it would not pursue growth opportunities at the expense of profitability. And as a sign of management’s commitment to balanced value creation, the company increased its dividend by 90 percent.

- **Relentless Cost Management.** VF built on its long-known operational excellence to develop an operating model focused on leveraging scale and synergies across its businesses through initiatives in sourcing, supply chain processes, and offshoring.

- **A Major Transformation of the Portfolio.** To help fund its journey, VF divested product lines worth about $1 billion in revenues, including its namesake intimate-apparel business. It used those resources to acquire nearly $2 billion worth of higher-growth, higher-margin brands, such as Vans, Nautica, and Reef. Overall, this shifted the balance of its portfolio from 70 percent low-growth heritage brands to 65 percent higher-growth lifestyle brands.

- **The Creation of a High-Performance Culture.** VF has created an ownership mind-set in its management ranks. More than 200 managers across all key businesses and regions received training in the underlying principles of value creation, and the performance of every brand and business is assessed in terms of its value contribution. In addition, VF strengthened its management bench through a dedicated talent-management program and selective high-profile hires. (For an illustration of VF’s transformation roadmap, see the exhibit on the next page.)

The results of VF’s TSR-led transformation are apparent. The company’s revenues have grown from $7 billion in 2008 to more than $11 billion in 2013 (and revenues are projected to top $17 billion by 2017). At the same time, profitability has improved substantially, highlighted by a gross margin of 48 percent as of mid-2014. The company’s stock price quadrupled from $15 per share in 2005 to more than $65 per share in September 2014, while paying about 2 percent a year in dividends. As a result, the company has ranked in the top quintile of the S&P 500 in terms of TSR over the past ten years.

**NOTE**
1. For a detailed description of the VF journey, see the 2013 Value Creators Report, Unlocking New Sources of Value Creation, BCG report, September 2013.
VF’s GROWTH TRANSFORMATION CREATES STRONG VALUE FOR INVESTORS
(continued)

VF Used a Detailed, TSR-Led Transformation Roadmap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic moves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase dividends by 90 percent, and change buyback approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue developing operating model to realize scale benefits and synergies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divest slow-growth core business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin aggressive acquisition plan (lifestyle brands).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
<th>Phase 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 1</td>
<td>Quarter 2</td>
<td>Quarter 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 4</td>
<td>Quarter 5</td>
<td>Quarter 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 7</td>
<td>Quarter 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Investor day: reduce growth guidance, and commit to focus on TSR.
- Earnings call: emphasize strong free cash flow and returns from M&A.
- Conduct “tuck in” acquisition.
- Announce talent management program.
- Emphasize brand management skills.
- Announce new brand-organization structure.
- Announce GARP financial targets.
- Continue developing operating model to realize scale benefits and synergies.

Source: BCG case experience.
Note: GARP = growth at a reasonable price.
A central challenge of transformations is that they typically take several years to complete, depending on the size of the company and the scope of the changes required. During that time, senior leaders face constant pressure—from the board, employees, shareholders, and other stakeholders—to show momentum and deliver immediate results.

Leaders must win in the short term to win in the medium term.

Leaders must win in the short term to win in the medium term. Early, achievable, tangible wins energize the organization and generate the buy-in and confidence of managers and employees. These early measures are also important because they achieve commercial wins, reduce costs, improve the top and bottom lines, and free up cash—all of which can drive the operational improvements required to put the company’s performance among the top quartile operationally of its peer group.

The four types of levers for funding the journey are revenues, organization simplification, capital, and costs. Most often, companies go after the obvious: cost-cutting and organizational optimization. However, revenue and capital levers, while often overlooked, can generate a sizable impact as well. (See the sidebar “A Consumer-Packaged-Goods Company Uses Several Levers to Fund Its Transformation Journey.”)

Revenue Levers
Revenue levers can generate as much as a 10 percent increase in top-line growth and a 5 percent EBIT improvement. Go-to-market optimization should focus on pricing effectively, deploying and incentivizing the sales force for the highest impact, and optimizing marketing and advertising spending.

Pricing is the language of business. It drives brand perception, shapes customer behavior, and ultimately propels earnings. Pricing initiatives can typically drive revenue increases of 1 to 2 percent, gross-margin increases of 5 to 10 percent in the short term, and gross-profit increases of 50 percent or more over two years and beyond. Because pricing is so critical, companies need to develop it as a strategic capability, based on customer insights and an analytical approach. Typical quick wins include improving customer targeting, renegotiating accounts, disciplined management of discounts, and optimizing promotions.

For example, an automotive manufacturer undergoing a large-scale transformation was
A CONSUMER-PACKAGED-GOODS COMPANY USES SEVERAL LEVERS TO FUND ITS TRANSFORMATION JOURNEY

A leading consumer-packaged-goods (CPG) player was struggling to respond to challenging market dynamics, particularly in the value-based segments and at the price points where it was strongest. The near- and medium-term forecasts looked even worse, with likely contractions in sales volume and potentially even in revenues. A comprehensive transformation effort was needed.

To fund the journey, the company looked at several cost-reduction initiatives, including logistics. Previously, the company had worked with a large number of logistics providers, causing it to miss out on scale efficiencies. To improve, it bundled all transportation spending, across the entire network (both inbound to production facilities and outbound to its various distribution channels), and opened it to bidding through a request-for-proposal process. As a result, the company was able to save 10 percent on logistics in the first 12 months—a very fast gain for what is essentially a commodity service.

Similarly, the company addressed its marketing-agency spending. A benchmark analysis revealed that the company had been paying rates well above the market average and getting fewer hours per full-time equivalent each year than the market standard. By getting both rates and hours in line, the company managed to save more than 10 percent on its agency spending—and those savings were immediately reinvested to enable the launch of what became a highly successful brand.

Next, the company pivoted to growth mode in order to win in the medium term. The measure with the biggest impact was pricing. The company operates in a category that is highly segmented across product lines and highly localized. Products that sell well in one region often do poorly in a neighboring state. Accordingly, it sought to de-average its pricing approach across locations, brands, and pack sizes, driving a 2 percent increase in EBIT.

Similarly, it analyzed trade promotion effectiveness by gathering and compiling data on the roughly 150,000 promotions that the company had run across channels, locations, brands, and pack sizes. The result was a 2 terabyte database tracking the historical performance of all promotions.

Analyses of the databases and research into how other companies handled promotions led to the development of a new approach. By analyzing the promotions, the company was able to determine which benefited the most from promotions, leading to a 2 percent increase in EBIT. Additionally, to save money, the company made more of its own promotions in the future.

One mobile operator facing increased competition did not have an optimal channel or distribution structure. By implementing a new incentive structure and channel model and deploying its sales force more effectively, the company was able to capture a 10 percent increase in EBIT within the first year.

Sales force effectiveness improves the top and bottom lines. Through improved customer targeting and engagement as well as better deployment and enablement of sales force field staff, a customer-centric sales process that is aligned with business objectives can help a company achieve improvements of 10 to 15 percent in revenues and profit. Activating the sales team is a practical, targeted approach to driving rapid, near-term results and making the sales force the engine of a broader transformation effort.

Marketing and advertising are critical revenue tools. Many companies spend as much on marketing and advertising as they do on capital expenditures but with far less analyti-
cal rigor. BCG’s proprietary research shows that the rules of thumb and shortcuts many marketers use to make decisions don’t yield better results; in fact, they can even destroy value. Typically, up to one-fourth of marketing spending is inefficient. By reallocating those resources, companies can achieve the same level of sales for 10 to 20 percent less—or drive 3 to 8 percent higher volume with the same spending levels—within the first year.

The good news is that there have never been more tools and models available to help marketers improve their marketing performance. Unfortunately, in our experience no tool or model is sufficient on its own. Achieving substantial positive results requires pulling levers across the strategic, tactical, and operational levels to create a common currency of marketing performance and the capability to measure it consistently across brands, products, locations, and campaigns over time.

The experience of a leading global consumer-packaged-goods player, one of the 20 largest media spenders in the world, serves as an example. The company was unable to measure return on marketing investment across its global portfolio. This issue became more pertinent when investors began questioning the efficacy of the company’s recent increases in marketing investment. Building a common measurement platform allowed the company to strategically reallocate spending across its top 50 brand and

Using that information, the company could make smarter decisions about which promotions should be scrapped, which should be tweaked, and which should merit a greater push. The result was another 2 percent increase in EBIT. Critically, this was a clear capability that the company built up internally, with the objective of continually strengthening its trade-promotion performance over time, and that has continued to pay annual dividends.

Finally, the company launched a significant initiative in targeted distribution. Before the transformation, the company’s distributors made decisions regarding product stocking in independent retail locations that were largely intuitive. To improve its distribution, the company leveraged big data to analyze historical sales performance for segments, brands, and individual SKUs within a roughly ten-mile radius of that retail location. On the basis of that analysis, the company was able to identify the five SKUs likely to sell best that were currently not in a particular store. The company put this tool on a mobile platform and is in the process of rolling it out to the distributor base. (Currently, approximately 60 percent of distributors, representing about 80 percent of sales volume, are rolling it out.) Without any changes to the product lineup, that measure has driven a 4 percent jump in gross sales.

Throughout the process, management had a strong change-management effort in place. For example, senior leaders communicated the goals of the transformation to employees through town hall meetings. Cognizant of how stressful transformations can be for employees—particularly during the early efforts to fund the journey, which often emphasize cost reductions—the company aggressively talked about how those savings were being reinvested into the business to drive growth (for example, investments into the most effective trade promotions and the brands that showed the greatest sales-growth potential).

In the aggregate, the transformation led to a much stronger EBIT performance, with increases of nearly $100 million in fiscal 2013 and far more anticipated in 2014 and 2015. The company’s premium products now make up a much bigger part of the portfolio. And the company is better positioned to compete in its market.
market combinations and tactically optimize spending across activities at the local level. The result was an increase of up to 5 percent in sales achieved with the same marketing resources over 18 months.

Organization Simplification Levers
Streamlining the organization can both dramatically increase the punch and implementation power of the organization and significantly reduce layers and costs. Recent research has found that up to half of performance requirements are contradictory and that overall organizational complexity has risen 35-fold since 1955. It is no surprise that employees at the most complicated organizations tend to be the most disengaged and unproductive. The layers of complexity lead them to focus on the wrong things and ultimately miss their objectives.

Delaying is a proven approach to reducing complexity that cuts through organizational clutter and increases the focus and performance of the team. It often yields sizable cost savings—typically 15 to 30 percent in indirect labor costs—as well. Yet delaying is more than just a cost reduction exercise. By removing bureaucratic hurdles, the process enables better and faster decisions and a more effective use of talent. Cultural changes and values spread more easily throughout the organization, and managers perform better. Individual employees benefit as well, through greater clarity of the organization’s purpose, a clearer link between performance and recognition, and less micromanaging. (See Exhibit 4.)

A leading global transportation provider facing a dramatic squeeze in margins delayed and streamlined the workforce at its headquarters facility. Within four months, it reduced its head count by 40 percent, shed ongoing projects from more than 100 to approximately a dozen, and significantly increased the focus and speed of its decision-making and execution power. In turn, this simpler operating agenda catalyzed execution, vaulting the company’s performance from the median to the top quartile in its peer group within three quarters.

EXHIBIT 4 | Delayering Generates Benefits That Go Beyond Cost Reductions

Better decision making
- Senior managers closer to the action
- Decisions implemented more rapidly
- Decisions made by those with detailed understanding

Enhanced accountability
- Reduce redundant work
- “Shadow organizations” eliminated
- Fewer turf battles

Faster, more reliable communication
- Communication mediated through fewer layers
- Ideas less distorted as they move up the organization

Better morale
- Supervisors no longer micromanaging
- Employees empowered with broader responsibilities
- Decision makers versus analysts

More competitive cost structure
- Fewer managers required as spans increase
- Duplicative departments and low-value work eliminated
- Opportunity for significant annual savings

Source: BCG experience.
Capital Efficiency Levers

Utilizing capital efficiently is vital during a transformation and can help with short-term cash needs and improve return on investment, positioning the company for growth. A strategic approach to capital allocation can help companies prioritize investment projects, improve financial discipline, and develop a strong governance structure to guide capital expenditure and growth projects.

Net working capital often holds potential for unlocking short-term improvements. Aspects include inventory, accounts payable, and accounts receivable.

Optimizing net working capital—and managing the interfaces and trade-offs between fixed assets and cost efficiency—can reduce working capital by 20 to 40 percent, often within the first year of implementation.

For example, a global industrial-engineering company had piled up inventory for years as a result of market volatility and needed to reverse the trend, especially because financing costs had risen drastically. It tailored quick-win actions for each plant, while a small team worked to strategically reduce inventory and optimize raw-material stocks across plants. After only one year, the company had realized a $450 million cash release through a 35 percent reduction in total inventory.

A retail company that had an aggressive, six-month timeline to unlock cash provides another example. Over three months, a small team focused on extending payment terms for the top 1,000 suppliers, representing a total of $700 million in payables. After a detailed benchmarking analysis, the company set up and communicated specific targets for each supplier. As a result, the company realized a $180 million cash release in the first quarter alone and a $270 million increase in available cash after six months.

A deeper analysis of fixed assets can also yield dramatic improvements. In addition to asset reduction options—such as an outright sale or defunding of future capital outlays—best-in-class companies manage both the need for assets and the way assets are utilized. Asset needs can be lowered through reduced product and customer complexity. A complex portfolio of products often requires broad production assets, along with ramp-ups, ramp-downs, and changeovers. Streamlining the portfolio of products or customer accounts and rethinking products or customer accounts and rethinking decisions can quickly improve productivity and allow for a reduction of assets.

Cost Reduction Levers

Certain measures can lead to reductions of 10 to 25 percent in the cost base, making them an essential component of a transformation. Short-term levers such as improving procurement, shuttering facilities, and reducing personnel and nonpersonnel costs can be very effective—and sometimes mission critical. Companies can also often capture quick wins from more profound cost-reduction activities, including supply chain, lean manufacturing, and process improvements.

Better management of the cost of goods sold (COGS) and procurement can create tremendous value. Measures such as these can lead
to a 2 to 5 percent margin improvement and have a tremendous impact on value creation. A leading European retailer, for example, had basic procurement practices and limited coordination across business units. The company created a procurement center of excellence and began running coordinated, analytically backed negotiations with all of its main suppliers, an approach that unlocked annualized savings of 3 percent of the addressed COGS in the first year.

**Personnel cost reductions are often necessary.** As market conditions change, companies must adapt their workforce accordingly; personnel reductions can drive a 20 to 40 percent reduction in labor costs, in many cases within the first 12 to 18 months.

In one example, a leading European contractor was facing major margin pressure owing to market contraction and the underperformance of several projects. A benchmarking analysis showed sales, general, and administrative (SG&A) costs that were 30 to 50 percent higher than those of the contractor’s peers—something that previously had not been fully clear given varying and nontransparent accounting practices. A further review revealed overlapping roles and management layers across the organization. The company launched an effort to reduce personnel, de-layer, and simplify the organization. Through these measures, the company closed the SG&A gap with its peer group in less than six months and restored EBIT competitiveness.

A global cable group facing margin pressure after several years of low demand growth and competition from low-cost countries took another approach to cutting costs. The group, which had been built on serial acquisitions, launched a quick-win lean program across its plants, focusing on overall equipment effectiveness. It is now on track to reduce personnel and waste by 30 percent in 18 months.

**Nonpersonnel cost (NPC) reductions also improve overhead.** Roughly 50 percent of overhead costs do not come from labor. By addressing these, companies can realize NPC reductions of 10 to 30 percent. Primary cost levers include buildings and equipment, utilities, travel management, fleet management, IT, and business services.

A European bank faced a sharp increase in operating expenses because of price hikes and inefficient and ineffective use of shared services by its subsidiaries. By creating cost transparency, the bank identified its high-impact cost categories, thereby clearing the way to achieve a 20 percent NPC reduction within the first year.

**Notes**

Funding the journey is an essential first step but not the only step; although the levers applied to fund the journey can be very powerful, they often don’t have the scope to fundamentally change the business and create sustainable competitive advantage. The second step, winning in the medium term, requires a more profound change: a fundamental rethinking of the target operating model, an end-to-end lean approach to streamlining the business, and a revamped business model. It’s an ambitious undertaking, but it’s necessary if the company is to get back to generating sustainable growth and create a vibrant and exciting future.

Developing the Target Operating Model

To develop a target operating model that is aligned with the goals of the transformation, a company must first make an honest analysis of its current operations. The process starts with assessments and benchmarking but also relies on a deep understanding of the complex interactions across the business and value streams, acquired through an evaluation of the organization, infrastructure, operations, and performance and steering. (See Exhibit 5.)

By evaluating these four areas, companies will almost certainly uncover several core processes that it needs to eliminate, replace, or improve. That can often happen through a lean approach, discussed below. However, in some cases that’s not enough and, instead, companies must fundamentally reimagine these core processes and rewire their approach to delivering products and services to their customers.

Companies must fundamentally rethink their operating and business models.

The most obvious lens is digital. New technologies and evolving customer behavior are forcing companies to radically improve their products and services. And companies are also finding enormous value by applying digital technology to internal processes, increasing transparency, and giving all levels of the organization tools to make quicker and more effective decisions.

Bringing the Target Operating Model to Life: End-to-End Lean

In designing the target operating model, a lean approach unlocks the greatest value. This approach has traditionally been used in manufacturing facilities and supply chains, but it increasingly applies to service industries and
An end-to-end lean approach starts with the value proposition, looks at it from the customer’s perspective (rather than internally), and breaks down processes into a series of discrete steps. Through an initial lean diagnostic, companies often find a mismatch between their processes and the goal of serving the customer; this insight can also serve as a basis for how to bring the target operating model to life. In redesigning each process, step by step, the goal is to create value for the customer and eliminate waste. By using the customer experience as the starting point, companies can eliminate friction between departments and business units and across value streams.

Because these changes are often major initiatives, pilot tests can help prove the concept on a smaller scale and thus win supporters. As the company shifts to the full rollout (often in conjunction with other transformation efforts), opportunities and improvements begin to compound.

In addition to driving process excellence, lean cultivates a learning organization that focuses on continuously improving and creating value for the customer. This type of learning organization is essential to sustaining a multi-year transformation effort. (For a case study, see the sidebar “A Leading Bank Uses a Lean Approach to Transform Its Target Operating Model.”)

### Rethinking the Business Model

In a transformation, companies must put everything on the table. That includes rethinking the core business model. Companies must address a core question: “What do we do?” To answer this question, companies must zero in on their value

---

**EXHIBIT 5 | The Target Operating Model Requires Addressing Four Core Elements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Organization design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Process architecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Committees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Role descriptions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• IT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Locations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Customer intelligence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>Operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sourcing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shared services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Supply chain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Offshore and nearshore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Process design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>Performance and steering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Target setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Performance management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Portfolio management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Risk management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Source:** BCG experience.
A leading bank in Europe is in the process of a multiyear transformation of its operating model. Prior to this effort, a benchmarking analysis found that the bank was lagging behind its peers in several aspects. Branch employees handled fewer customers and sold fewer new products, and back-office processing times for new products were slow. Customer feedback was poor, and rework rates were high, especially at the interface between the front and back offices. Activities that could have been managed centrally were handled at local levels, increasing complexity and cost. Harmonization across borders—albeit a challenge given that the bank operates in many countries—was limited. However, the benchmark also highlighted many strengths that provided a basis for further improvement, such as common platforms and efficient product-administration processes.

To address the gaps, the company set the design principles for a target operating model for its operations and launched a lean program to get there. Using an end-to-end process approach, all the bank’s activities were broken down into roughly 250 processes, covering everything that a customer could potentially experience. Each process was then optimized from end to end using lean tools. This approach breaks down silos and increases collaboration and transparency across both functions and organization layers.

Employees from different functions took an active role in the process improvements, participating in employee workshops in which they analyzed processes from the perspective of the customer. For a mortgage, the process was broken down into discrete steps, from the moment the customer walks into a branch or goes to the company website, until the house has changed owners. In the front office, the system was improved to strengthen management, including clear performance targets, preparation of branch managers for coaching roles, and training in root-cause problem solving. This new way of working and approaching problems has directly boosted both productivity and morale.

The bank is making sizable gains in performance as the program rolls through the organization. For example, front-office processing time for a mortgage has decreased by 33 percent and the bank can get a final answer to customers 36 percent faster. The call centers had a significant increase in first-call resolution. Even more important, customer satisfaction scores are increasing, and rework rates have been halved. For each process the bank revamps, it achieves a consistent 15 to 25 percent increase in productivity.

And the bank isn’t done yet. It is focusing on permanently embedding a change mind-set into the organization so that continuous improvement becomes the norm. This change capability will be essential as the bank continues on its transformation journey.

A LEADING BANK USES A LEAN APPROACH TO TRANSFORM ITS TARGET OPERATING MODEL

- What target segments are we serving? What should they be?
- What products and services do we offer? Are we focused on the right ones?
- What is our revenue model? Is it aligned with our long-term strategy and growth goals?

Answering these questions often prompts a fundamental shift in strategy, a reevaluation of the value proposition, and a focus on new products and services. Companies can emerge from this process to pursue new business.
models, such as an innovative go-to-market approach or a new digital strategy.

Even as the company moves toward a new business model, it cannot simply ignore its legacy business model. Leaders will have to think critically about how to juxtapose legacy business models that are still making money with newer and entrepreneurial bets that are aligned with the future strategy of the company. The longer-term objective is an ability to adapt and make progress with a flexible plan that can be refined over time.1 (For more, see the sidebar “Common Transformation Traps.”)

A bank located in an emerging-market nation realized that to become a leader in its region would require a game-changing strategy. It started completely from scratch and developed a new value proposition that emphasized transparent pricing, same-day turnaround on most services at consistent quality, and entrepreneurial and engaging staff. At the same time, the bank launched a new, far simpler business model—with fewer than ten distinct products—that was geared to growth. The bank is now rolling out the new strategy, with the goal of operating several hundred branches in three years. Beyond the obvious benefits, the measures have brought new energy to the organization and inspired it to take on the giants in its market.

The shift does not need to be as dramatic for all companies. McDonald’s has been a leading food-service company for decades; its success is due in part to its obsession with constantly improving its business model. Because McDonald’s operates in more than 100 countries, it needs to keep a close eye on its customers and ensure that it can deliver on its value proposition by offering the right products, at the right price, to the right customers. It has embraced the cafeteria concept for its stores in Europe, for instance, and created a specialty-coffee-shop experience with espresso and cappuccino in the U.S. Both are ways to deliver on the same fundamental business model and value proposition. (For a more detailed case study of a customer-focused transformation, see the sidebar “A German Health Insurer Transforms Itself to Better Serve Customers.”)

Note

COMMON TRANSFORMATION TRAPS

Companies that don’t succeed at the second phase of transformation—winning in the medium term—typically fall into a predictable set of traps, which seem obvious but are surprisingly difficult to avoid:

- The Early-Wins Trap. Companies declare premature victory after the first round of efficiency and cost-reduction measures and fail to pursue a second round.

- The Efficiency Trap. Companies continue with multiple rounds of cost-cutting and efficiency-improvement measures, devoting too many resources to eking out diminishing returns.

- The Business-as-Usual Trap. Companies fail to shed core assumptions and practices that have become self-limited or irrelevant.

- The Proportionality Trap. Companies make promising moves—such as a series of new business pilots—that are not proportionate to the scale of the challenge. “Dabbling” is not a recipe for transformation success.
A GERMAN HEALTH INSURER TRANSFORMS ITSELF TO BETTER SERVE CUSTOMERS

Barmer GEK, Germany’s largest public health insurer, has a successful history spanning 130 years and has been named one of the top 100 brands in Germany. When its new CEO, Dr. Christoph Straub, took office in 2011, he quickly realized the need for action despite the company’s relatively good financial health. The company was still dealing with the postmerger integration of Barmer and GEK in 2010 and needed to adapt to a fast-changing and increasingly competitive market. It was losing ground to competitors in both market share and key financial benchmarks. Barmer GEK was suffering from overhead structures that kept it from delivering market-leading customer service and being cost efficient, even as competitors were improving their service offerings in a market where prices are fixed. Facing this fundamental challenge, Barmer GEK decided to launch a major transformation effort.

The goal of the transformation was to fundamentally improve the customer experience, with customer satisfaction as a benchmark of success. At the same time, Barmer GEK needed to improve its cost position and make tough choices to align its operations to better meet customer needs. As part of the first step in the transformation, the company launched a delayering program that streamlined management layers, leading to significant savings and notable side benefits including enhanced accountability, better decision making, and an increased customer focus. Delayering laid the path to win in the medium term through fundamental changes to the company’s business and operating model in order to set up the company for long-term success.

The company launched ambitious efforts to change the way things were traditionally done:

- **A Better Client-Service Model.** Barmer GEK is reducing the number of its branches by 50 percent, while transitioning to larger and more attractive service centers throughout Germany. More than 90 percent of customers will still be able to reach a service center within 20 minutes. To reach rural areas, mobile branches that can visit homes were created.

- **Improved Customer Access.** Because Barmer GEK wanted to make it easier for customers to access the company, it invested significantly in online services and full-service call centers. This led to a direct reduction in the number of customers who need to visit branches while maintaining high levels of customer satisfaction.

- **Organization Simplification.** A pillar of Barmer GEK’s transformation is the centralization and specialization of claim processing. By moving from 80 regional hubs to 40 specialized processing centers, the company is now using specialized administrators—who are more effective and efficient than under the old staffing model—and increased sharing of best practices.

Although Barmer GEK has strategically reduced its workforce in some areas—through proven concepts such as specialization and centralization of core processes—it has invested heavily in areas that are aligned with delivering value to the customer, increasing the number of customer-facing employees across the board. These changes have made Barmer GEK competitive on cost, with expected annual savings exceeding €300 million, as the company continues on its journey to deliver exceptional value to customers. Beyond being described in the German press as a “bold move,” the transformation has laid the groundwork for the successful future of the company.
The third key element of a transformation is the people component. Without a strong focus on a company’s team, organization, and culture—through a strong change-management effort—the transformation will fail. Such an effort requires five essential success factors:

- Ensure commitment that allows the leadership team to lead from the front.
- Simplify the organization and develop the culture to support high performance.
- Develop talent to fill the critical roles required to transform.
- Install an HR team that can act as a transformation partner.
- Deploy change management tools to drive results.

Leading from the Front

Nothing contributes more to the success of a transformation than its leaders. Transformations are complicated initiatives that take place over time, with significant potential for miscommunication and misplaced priorities by the time they filter down the line. As a result, transformations must be led from the front, by committed leaders who consistently embody important behaviors and hold themselves accountable for results.

In many industries undergoing significant market, competitive, and technological shifts, transformation is the new normal. Companies need to make sure that they have the right leaders with the right skills “on the bus” and that these leaders can work in effective teams, set the right priorities, and provide the leadership needed to make change happen.

Senior leaders must be able to engage not just the senior team but also the extended leadership team—the next 100 to 200 managers in the organization chart—to ensure that all leaders have the right level of commitment to the transformation. (See Exhibit 6.) Typically, this requires convincing some skeptics who may question the need for change or the urgency or validity of the transformation or who may resist it because it changes their span of control, responsibilities, or other factors.

Winning over those skeptics is a central challenge for leaders. It requires helping leaders work through several phases—denial, resistance, exploration, and commitment—with specific interventions that vary depending on where leaders are stuck:

- **Denial.** In this phase, leaders may simply ignore requests, assuming that the initiative won’t last. Overcoming denial...
requires making a clear case for change and reinforcing the fact that the transformation is for real.

- **Resistance.** This is the most common sticking point, at which some leaders seek, either actively or passively, to undermine the initiative. The key to engaging leaders in this phase is to air the points of resistance and address them openly. Some concerns are legitimate, and heading them off increases the odds of success.

- **Exploration.** For leaders at this point in the journey, the goal is direct engagement and reassurance, through quick wins, that the process is working.

- **Commitment.** Leaders are fully on board for the transformation and willing to help engage the rest of the organization regarding next steps.

Each leader should be assessed for past performance, current readiness, and future potential across four dimensions: knowledge, soft skills, experience, and motivation and personality traits. Leaders also must have a foundation in adaptability and change leadership. A shortcoming in any one of these can be a warning sign.

However, the right leaders will fill roles in varying ways throughout the journey, from champion of the venture (offering sponsorship and support), to resource (offering information and direction to employees, managers, and other leaders), to example (embodying the right actions and behaviors), to compassionate team member (acknowledging that change can be disruptive and stressful). (For a case study in how leadership can impact a transformation, see the sidebar “Nokia’s Leader-Driven Transformation Reinvents the Company [Again].”)

A transformation often brings significant turnover and, consequently, many leaders who are new to the organization. Beyond understanding their commitment to the change journey, it is vital to ensure that they have the right tools and capabilities to lead

---

**EXHIBIT 6 | Transformation Success Requires Engaging the Extended Leadership Team**

1. How can I improve the decision-making complexity prevalent in large organizations?
2. How do we create a high-performance organization that is faster and more nimble?
3. How do I drive real and sustainable change?
4. How can we help clients build capabilities and ensure that clients are successful after we leave?
5. How much is change management really changing management?
6. How do we help leadership teams work together more effectively?
7. How do I have the tough conversations?
8. What does good coaching look like, recognizing that effective leaders can have different styles?

**Source:** BCG experience.
We all remember Nokia as the company that once dominated the mobile-phone industry but subsequently had to exit that business. What is easily forgotten is that Nokia has radically and successfully reinvented itself several times in its 150-year history. This makes Nokia a prime example of a “serial transformer.”

In 2014, Nokia embarked on perhaps the most radical transformation in its history. During that year, Nokia had to make a radical choice: continue massively investing in its mobile-device business (its largest) or reinvent itself. The device business had been moving toward a difficult stalemate, generating dissatisfactory results and requiring increasing amounts of capital, which Nokia no longer had. At the same time, the company was in a 50-50 joint venture with Siemens—called Nokia Siemens Networks (NSN)—that sold networking equipment. NSN had been undergoing a massive turnaround and cost-reduction program, steadily improving its results.

When Microsoft expressed interest in taking over Nokia’s device business, Nokia chairman Risto Siilasmaa took the initiative. Over the course of six months, he and the executive team evaluated several alternatives and shaped a deal that would radically change Nokia’s trajectory: selling the mobile business to Microsoft. In parallel, Nokia CFO Timo Ihamuotila orchestrated another deal to buy out Siemens from the NSN joint venture, giving Nokia 100 percent control over the unit and forming the cash-generating core of the new Nokia. These deals have proved essential for Nokia to fund the journey. They were well-timed, well-executed moves at the right terms.

Right after these radical announcements, Nokia embarked on a strategy-led design period to win in the medium term with new people and a new organization, with Risto Siilasmaa as chairman and interim CEO. Nokia set up a new portfolio strategy, corporate structure, capital structure, robust business plans, and management team with president and CEO Rajeev Suri in charge. Nokia focused on delivering excellent operational results across its portfolio of three businesses while planning its next move: a leading position in technologies for a world in which everyone and everything will be connected.

Nokia’s share price has steadily climbed. Its enterprise value has grown 12-fold since bottoming out in July 2012. The company has returned billions of dollars of cash to its shareholders and is once again the most valuable company in Finland. The next few years will demonstrate how this chapter in Nokia’s 150-year history of serial transformation will again reinvent the company.

**A High-Performance Organization and Culture**

To execute the new strategy and target operating model and sustain higher levels of performance during the transformation and beyond, a significant number of people will need to change what they do and how they behave. Improvements in customer focus, collaboration, innovation, simplicity, and productivity—all typical goals of a transformation—all require changes to behavior. Behavior, in turn, is shaped by the organization context in which people work. To improve and align the ways that people behave, companies will need to revamp their organizations.
On the basis of BCG’s client work, we have observed that high-performance organizations and cultures have three characteristics:

- **Individuals and teams that are engaged in achieving the desired results**
- **Individual and collective behavior that is clearly linked to the company’s unique strategy**
- **A work context that reinforces the desired behaviors and culture**

If any of the three elements is not in place, leaders need to actively change the organization and culture—by setting the target culture and then changing the organization context to reinforce that culture. Aspects include the right leadership behaviors, organization structure, role mandates, people policies, performance metrics and management, rewards and recognition, and the physical work environment. (See the sidebar “Key Lessons in Cultural Change During a Transformation.”) This process is a microcosm of the overall transformation effort, and it follows the same path:

- **Diagnose the current situation.** How do people behave? What culture do we have, and why?
- **Set the target culture.** What behaviors and culture do we need?
- **Identify critical interventions.** What aspects of organization context do we need to change?
- **Develop a path to get there.** How do we make change happen?

Effectively changing the organization context is an iterative process. The actual interventions will evolve over time in response to refinements in the business model and the strategy.¹

One essential element of context is organization design. Reporting lines as well as roles and responsibilities, and how those are defined, all significantly shape how people behave. A critical component of the organization design process is the delayering discussed in the chapter “Funding the Journey.” Both processes—organization design and delayering—are necessary to increase the effectiveness and punch of the organization and facilitate the environment that will encourage employees to exercise the desired behaviors.

Organizations need a deep understanding of the interactions between functions and business units, as well as the roles and accountabilities of individual contributors. To understand these dynamics, companies should create “role charters” that define what each employee is accountable for along with “collaboration charters” that define how respon-
Transformation possibilities will be shared throughout the organization. (See the sidebar “A Contrast in Organization Design Approaches.”)

A Holistic Strategy for Talent

Although leadership is critical, especially during the first six to nine months of the transformation, success over the longer term requires a strategy for identifying and developing talent at all levels and in all critical roles. All transformations require the development of new skills for leadership and functional expertise in disciplines such as pricing, sourcing, lean, and HR.

Our experience shows that no amount of expertise or leadership brought in from the outside is going to overcome an organization’s inability to build capabilities internally. To achieve and sustain the hard-fought gains in a transformation, companies must be able to define their strategic objectives and the capabilities and roles required to achieve them. At the same time, they must be able to plan their workforce needs two to five years ahead, identify and attract talent, train and develop employees, assess and promote the most promising individuals, and engage the entire workforce.

An industrial-goods company was able to deliver on aggressive transformation goals through a fundamental shift in its talent strategy. Without a focus on talent, the company would have failed as it shifted from a local to a global strategy: it started with 80 percent of its staff in its local country but in five years had 50 percent of staff located in the emerging-market nations Brazil, Russia, India, and China. The talent initiative also supported an effort to increase the revenue contribution of the company’s service business to half of total revenues. Four pillars of this talent strategy helped the company deliver on its goals:

- Anticipating and constantly measuring the talent gaps with transformation and business leaders and investing accordingly
- Opening talent pools to very diverse profiles to support a global strategy and updating assessments to handle this diverse new pool of employees

Accordingly, it’s urgent that leaders move fast in the early stages, to generate a high-level view of the target culture and set a “north star” to guide the overall transformation. This means a clear description of the target culture—and target behaviors—that can be understood throughout the organization.

In addition, companies need to structure the program so that leaders buy into the cultural aspect and ensure that there are appropriate resources to orchestrate the cultural change. Treating culture as an afterthought will erode the transformation—and the company’s performance overall—in a thousand small and large ways.

KEY LESSONS IN CULTURAL CHANGE DURING A TRANSFORMATION

Creating a high-performance culture is typically only one of several objectives in a transformation. Many other objectives, especially those in funding the journey, have a clear return on investment. The benefits of culture change can be harder to pin down and quantify—but in many ways, culture change is the most critical element for sustainable success. So leaders need to ensure that they are fully committed to protecting resources and actions to change culture.

Transformation requires pulling levers from the first day of the process, and that makes it challenging for leaders to stay ahead. Compounding the problem, many work streams associated with the broader transformation are not under the direct control of those responsible for the culture (such as HR).
• Marketing the company to potential employees who fit the new strategy, through a targeted employer value proposition and investment of time to standardize the talent experience globally through frequent monitoring of employees’ engagement.

• Helping the managers of the company succeed in their human-capital performance objectives with cross-silo talent reviews and career-management and enterprise-development programs at different career levels, encompassing job rotations and a cycle of training programs.

The time that the senior leaders of the industrial-goods company invested in talent management was considerable—up to a month annually for each executive. But talent management has become a competitive advantage for the company, which is now a truly global leader in its sector.

HR as a Transformation Partner

HR is a powerful transformation enabler because transformations typically touch almost all aspects of HR, including organization design, leadership and talent development, workforce planning, recruiting, compensation, and performance management. For that reason, companies need to understand how and where the transformation will affect each HR discipline and the current capability and capacity of the HR function early in the change program. That gives management a grasp of the demands that will be placed on HR and the department’s capabilities and capacity to respond—and an opportunity to address any critical gaps.

In addition, companies need to determine the potential impact of the transformation on the HR organization itself. In the short term, HR at many companies will need to evolve from a mere service provider (focused on administrative processes) and functional expert to a transformation enabler and strategic partner for the business. (See Exhibit 7.) To enable the HR function as a strategic partner, the transformation’s leaders must include HR in planning and provide visibility into the pending changes so that HR has time to prepare for its elevated role in the transformation.

For example, a global technology company with a history of high growth had long required HR to focus on scaling the organization. As growth slowed, the company set out on a transformation journey, and it needed HR to play a critical role as a transformation partner for the business. To begin, the company created an inventory of all the transformation initiatives and determined the impact on various HR disciplines. In parallel, the company conducted a series of workshops with critical HR staff to assess current capabilities, strengths, and weaknesses. The outcome of these sessions was alignment on a focused set of HR priorities that were essential to support the business. The department also put together a roadmap to build new capabilities, enabling it to assume an expanded

A CONTRAST IN ORGANIZATION DESIGN APPROACHES

A telecom company failed to properly define roles and accountabilities and to align individual capabilities with the appropriate roles. The result was that several business units lacked a clear mandate, leading to high turnover among executives in those units.

By contrast, a large global bank achieved greater success in its transformation because it developed a clear organization design, establishing end-to-end account-ability for costs and rolling out the new design as part of a comprehensive change-management program. The company globalized business units and functions but gave country-specific chief operating officers sufficient authority to adapt global operating-model design and implement it locally, enabling the organization to quickly roll out the new design with high levels of buy-in across the company.
role. In essence, HR had to undergo a small-scale transformation so that it could help drive the larger transformation of the rest of the company.

Embedding Change Management Within the Organization

Depending on complexity, some 50 to 75 percent of change efforts fail. However, a holistic approach to managing change—one that enrolls and activates leaders at all levels, engages the broader organization, and ensures a high level of confidence in initiative delivery—can powerfully flip the odds in favor of success.

To fund the journey and win in the medium term, many high-impact initiatives need to be identified. It is important to establish an initiative roadmap for each, made up of multiple milestones—typically, 15 to 25 are most effective—along with time frames, financial and operational metrics, and clear accountabilities. This roadmap communicates the story of each change initiative in such a way that the transformation team, on the basis of monthly updates, can easily understand what is happening and can make course corrections to ensure ultimate on-time value delivery.

Beyond the quantitative metrics of an initiative, rigor-testing each initiative roadmap can produce tremendous value. This is a qualitative assessment of the robustness and consistency of each plan that ultimately addresses three important areas:

- Is the initiative roadmap clearly defined, logically structured, and readily implementable?
- Is the financial impact at each step clearly identified, along with the source, timing, and leading indicators?
- Are interdependencies and other known risks identified and understood?

Analysis has shown that roadmaps whose rigor test earned “excellent” scores captured an average of 130 percent of their planned value. One company, which had more than 100 initiative roadmaps with 1,800 specific business milestones, performed rigor testing with...
every initiative team, leveraging the support of its program management office (PMO). By challenging each roadmap with key questions on these three topics, the company had confidence in its ability to deliver on its aggressive goals.

An activist PMO, one that closely supports senior leaders and the transformation agenda, has time and time again proved critical in enabling and facilitating impact across the business—particularly for cross-business initiatives. The value of getting the PMO right cannot be understated. Only one-third of PMO leaders feel that their PMO has realized its full potential to enable change within the organization. When leveraged correctly, the PMO helps the leadership team maintain an appropriate pace of change and acts as the steward of the aspiration for change, ensuring that there is a clear line of sight to senior executives regarding implementation progress and any emerging issues. At the same time, it must never usurp the authority of the business units or functions in delivering results. The PMO does not need to be liked, but it should have broad-based respect. “PMO used to be a dirty word around here. This PMO has changed that,” remarked a senior leader at a global oil and gas company whose PMO is now recognized as a key part of a major transformation program.³

**Notes**

Today’s business environment is more volatile and complex than ever. The need to continually transform is no longer an option—it is the only option. Companies are looking for something more fundamental than the next initiative or change program—and this is it.

This report is an effort to give leaders the tools and approaches to lead a successful and sustainable transformation effort.

We hope you enjoy our perspective.
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